storage whenever contractual obligations can also be met.

Reclamation has received requests for sale of about 26,000 acre-feet of the noncontracted storage for irrigation and requests have been made that all noncontracted storage be reserved for agricultural use.

Clearly, there is controversy concerning the "best" use for the noncontracted storage in Prineville Reservoir. Any changes in storage allocation for uses other than irrigated agriculture would require the Congress to amend the authorization. This study is designed to explore alternatives, including no action, to water allocations in Prineville Reservoir.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT: Reclamation plans to conduct public scoping meetings to identify issues and concerns which will be used in the development of alternatives. These meetings will be held in the late fall of this year. The dates, times, and locations of public scoping meetings will be noted in newspapers of general circulation in Prineville and surrounding communities.

Dated: September 2, 1997.

#### John W. Keys, III,

Regional Director, Pacific Northwest Region. [FR Doc. 97–24831 Filed 9–17–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–M

# INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AGENCY

#### **Agency For International Development**

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**SUMMARY:** U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) is making efforts to reduce the paperwork burden. USAID invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Comments are requested concerning: (a) Whether the proposed or continuing collections of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Send comments on or before September 30, 1997.

ADDRESS INFORMATION TO: Mary Ann Ball, Bureau of Management, Office of Administration Services, Information and Records Division, U.S. Agency for International Development, Washington, D.C. (202) 712–1765 or via e-mail MBall@USAID.Gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Number:* OMB 0412–0551. *Form Number:* N/A.

*Title:* U.S. Agency for International Development Acquisition Regulations (AIDAR) Clause 752.70.26 Reports.

*Type of Submission:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

Purpose: Section 635(b) of the Foreign Assistance Act (FAA) authorizes USAID to contract with any corporation, international organization, or other body or persons in or out of the United States in furtherance of the purposes and within the limitations of the FAA. To determine how well contractors are performing to meet the requirements of the contract, USAID requires periodic performance reports from contractors. The performance reporting requirements are contained in the USAID clause New AIDAR reports (October 1996).

Annual Reporting Burden: Respondents: 350. Total annual responses: 2,000. Total annual hours requested: 8,000.

Dated: September 11, 1997.

#### Willette L. Smith,

Acting Chief, Information and Records Division, Office of Administrative Services, Bureau of Management.

[FR Doc. 97–24828 Filed 9–17–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6116–01–M

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 332-383]

### Advice Concerning Possible Modifications to the U.S. Generalized System of Preferences

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation and scheduling of hearing

SUMMARY: On September 5, 1997, the Commission received a request from the United States Trade Representative (USTR) for an investigation under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 for the purpose of providing advice concerning possible modifications to the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP). Following receipt of the request and in accordance therewith, the

Commission instituted Investigation No. 332–383 in order to provide as follows—

(1) In accordance with sections 503(a)(1)(A), 503(e) and 131(a) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("the 1974 Act"), and pursuant to authority of the President delegated to the United States Trade Representative by sections 4(c) and 8 (c) and (d) of Executive Order 11846 of March 31, 1975, as amended, the articles identified in Part A of the attached Annex are being considered for designation as eligible articles for purposes of the United States GSP, as set forth in Title V of the 1974 Act. In accordance with sections 503(a)(1)(A), 503(e) and 131(a) of the 1974 Act and under the authority delegated by the President, pursuant to section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930, the Commission is requested to provide its advice with respect to the articles in Part A of the attached Annex, as to the probable economic effect on the United States industries producing like or directly competitive articles and on consumers of the elimination of United States import duties under the GSP;

(2) In accordance with section 503(c)(2)(E) of the 1974 Act, which exempts from one of the competitive need limits in section 503(c)(2)(A) of the 1974 Act articles for which no like or directly competitive articles was being produced in the United States on January 1, 1995, advice as to whether products like or directly competitive with the articles in Part A of the attached annex were being produced in the United States on January 1, 1995;

- (3) With respect to the article listed in Part B of the attached annex, advice as to the probable economic effect on U.S. industries producing like or directly competitive articles and on consumers of the removal of the article in Part B of the attached annex from eligibility for duty-free treatment under the GSP;
- (4) In accordance with section 503(d)(1)(A) of the 1974 Act, advice as to whether any industry in the United States is likely to be adversely affected by a waiver of the competitive need limits specified in section 503(c)(2)(A) of the 1974 Act for the country specified with respect to the articles in Part C of the attached annex.

In providing its advice under (1) the Commission will assume, as requested by USTR, that the benefits of the GSP would not apply to imports that would be excluded from receiving such benefits by virtue of the competitive need limits specified in section 503(c) (2)(A) of the 1974 Act. With respect to the competitive need limit in section 503(c)(2)(A)(I)(I) of the 1974 Act, the